

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated April 4, 2006, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing claims 1-5 and 11 and for indicating that claims 7, 10, 13-15, and 18-20 include allowable subject matter. Claims 6, 8, 12, 14, 16, and 19 are hereby amended to incorporate features in the allowed and allowable claims. Claims 7, 10, 13 and 18 are hereby canceled. Applicant believes the amendments to claims 6, 8, 12, 14, 16, and 19 place those claims in condition for allowance.

In the Office Action, claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,844,533 to Usui et al. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent JP 06-046357 to Mori

The rejections of claims 6, 8, 12, and 16 under §102(b) over Usui and Mori are respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. Claims 6, 8, 12, and 16 are allowable over the cited references in that each of these claims recites a combination of elements including, for example, “controlling a supply time of the data differently in accordance with a comparison result of the data” (claim 6); “a controller for differently controlling a supply time of the data in accordance with a comparison result of the data” (claim 12); “differently controlling a supply time of the data supplied to the liquid crystal display panel in accordance with the comparison result” (claim 8); and “a controller for differently controlling a supply time of the data in accordance with the comparison result” (claim 12). None of the cited references including Usui and Mori, singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least controlling the supply time in the manner recited by claims 6, 8, 12 and 16.


Applicant believes the foregoing discussion overcomes the outstanding rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Usui and Mori and places the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: May 31, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

George G. Ballas

Registration No.: 52,587

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant